THE DAILY BREAD

The Acts of the Apostles



The Real Presence

A FORMATION BOOK BY

Kendall Peterson

The Everyday Apostle

THE DAILY BREAD

The Mass & the Sacraments

The Real Presence

What Is Actually on the Altar

✦ ✦ ✦

A Daily Bread Formation Book

The Everyday Apostle

INTRODUCTION

The Most Important Thing in the Room

There is a claim at the center of the Catholic faith so extraordinary that if it is true, nothing else in your life is more important, and if it is false, the whole thing is a delusion best abandoned. The claim is this: that at every Mass, the bread and wine on the altar become, truly and really, the body and blood of Jesus Christ. Not a symbol of him. Not a reminder of him. Not bread that represents him to a community that gathers in his memory. Him. Really, substantially, actually present, the same Jesus who walked in Galilee and died on Calvary and rose on Easter, present under the appearance of bread and wine, to be adored and received.

This is not a peripheral Catholic belief, a devotional extra for the especially pious. It is the center. The Church calls the Eucharist the source and summit of the whole Christian life, the thing from which everything else flows and toward which everything else points. If it is what the Church says it is, then the tabernacle in your parish contains the living God, and the most important thing in any room where the Eucharist is present is the Eucharist, more important than any person, any event, anything else that could possibly be happening there. That is the claim. It could hardly be larger.

This is my body, which is given for you. — Luke 22:19

And here is the sobering fact that makes this book necessary. Most Catholics no longer believe it. Survey after survey in recent years has found that a majority of Catholics, when asked, say they believe the Eucharist is only a symbol of Christ, not his real body and blood. The exact figures vary and are worth checking against current data, but the pattern is consistent and alarming: belief in the Real Presence, the defining Catholic doctrine, has collapsed among the very people who kneel before it every Sunday. Many Catholic men receive Communion each week with no living sense that they are receiving the living God, because no one ever taught them what it is, or the teaching never took hold, and the awe that ought to accompany the most staggering act of their week has drained away into routine.

This book is about recovering that awe, by recovering the truth beneath it. We will look at what the Church actually teaches and why. We will walk through the astonishing words of Christ himself, who could not have been clearer, and who watched followers walk away rather than soften the claim. We will examine what the earliest Christians believed, long before any medieval theologian, and find that they believed exactly what the Church

believes now. We will face the honest intellectual difficulty, the word transubstantiation and what it means, without hiding behind jargon. And we will ask what it should do to a man's life to know that the living God waits for him in the tabernacle of his own parish.

The aim is that you never again approach the altar the way you may have approached it for years, on autopilot, receiving without recognizing. The aim is that you come to know what is actually on the altar, and that the knowing changes everything about how you live in its presence.

If you read only one book in this library, there is a case that it should be this one, because the Eucharist is not one Catholic topic among many but the center from which the rest of the faith is understood. A man who grasps the Real Presence has grasped the thing that makes sense of the Mass, of Adoration, of Confession, of the whole sacramental life, of why the Church is built the way it is and worships the way she does. And a man who has lost it, or never had it, is missing the key that unlocks everything else, going through the motions of a faith whose beating heart he has never felt. This is why the recovery of the Real Presence is so urgent, and why it is worth a man's most careful attention. Everything else in the Catholic life radiates from this one astonishing fact: that God is really here, on the altar, under the appearance of bread. Get this, and the rest of the faith comes alive. Miss it, and the rest remains, at best, a set of good customs whose point is never quite clear.

CHAPTER ONE

What the Church Actually Claims

Real, Not Symbolic

Begin with precision about the claim itself, because much of the confusion comes from a failure to grasp how strong the Catholic claim actually is. The Church does not claim that the Eucharist is a powerful symbol, or that Christ is present in some vague spiritual sense, or that he is present in the gathered community and the bread merely marks the occasion. The Church claims something far more radical.

The Full Claim

The Church teaches that after the consecration at Mass, when the priest, acting in the person of Christ, speaks Christ's own words over the bread and wine, this is my body, this is my blood, the bread and wine cease to be bread and wine and become the body and blood of Christ. What remains that looks like bread and tastes like bread is no longer

bread. The reality has changed, entirely, even though every appearance remains the same. Christ is present, the Church teaches, truly, really, and substantially: truly, meaning not merely symbolically; really, meaning not merely in the mind of the believer; and substantially, meaning in his very substance, his very being, not merely in his power or his influence. The whole Christ is present, body and blood, soul and divinity, under the appearance of bread and under the appearance of wine. This is the Real Presence, and the word real is doing precise and heavy work: it means that what is present is not a representation of Christ but Christ himself.

The bread that I will give for the life of the world is my flesh. — John 6:51

Why the Distinction Matters

It is worth being clear about how much rides on this distinction, because a great many Catholics have quietly slid into a symbolic understanding without realizing they have abandoned the actual teaching. If the Eucharist is a symbol, then receiving Communion is a meaningful ritual, a way of remembering Christ and expressing belonging to his community, valuable in the way that any sacred symbol is valuable. But if the Eucharist is what the Church says it is, then receiving Communion is something of an entirely different order: it is receiving the living God into your body, taking Christ himself into yourself, an act so intimate and so staggering that no symbol could approach it. The difference is not a matter of degree but of kind. The symbolic view and the real view are not two shades of the same belief; they are different religions, one in which Christ is remembered and one in which Christ is received. The Catholic faith has always held, without wavering, the second. To receive the Eucharist believing it a mere symbol is to miss the very thing that is happening, to hold in your hand the living God and think you hold a token.

Adoration Follows

One consequence of the real claim, which the symbolic view cannot accommodate, is adoration. If the Eucharist is truly Christ, then it is to be worshiped, adored, with the worship due to God alone, because it is God. This is why Catholics genuflect before the tabernacle, why the sanctuary lamp burns beside it to signal his presence, why the Church has the practice of Eucharistic Adoration, sitting in silent worship before the exposed Host. All of this makes sense only if the Eucharist is really Christ. If it were a symbol, to adore it would be idolatry, the worship of bread. The Church adores the Eucharist precisely because she believes, with total seriousness, that it is not bread but the Lord. The instinct to kneel, to fall silent, to worship, is the correct response to what is actually present, and its absence in a man is a sign that the truth has not yet reached him.

When a man truly grasps the Real Presence, adoration is not a duty imposed on him but the only sane response to finding himself in the presence of God.

Presence and Sacrifice

There is a dimension of the Eucharist that the focus on presence can obscure, and it belongs here because the two are inseparable. The Eucharist is not only the real presence of Christ; it is also the re-presentation of his sacrifice. At Mass, the one sacrifice of Christ on the cross, offered once for all, is made present again, not repeated, for Calvary happened once and cannot be repeated, but made present, so that the men and women at Mass today stand, in a real sense, at the foot of the cross, and the fruits of that one sacrifice are applied to them now. This is why the Mass is called a sacrifice and not merely a meal or a service. The same Christ who is really present is really present as the one who offered himself, the Lamb who was slain, and the Mass unites those who attend it to that offering. Presence and sacrifice are two aspects of one reality: the Christ present on the altar is the Christ who gave himself on the cross, and to receive him is to be joined to his self-offering. A man who grasps this understands why the Mass is the summit of the Christian life, for at it he is present to the one act that saved the world, and given its fruit to eat.

CHAPTER TWO

The Words of Christ

He Could Not Have Been Clearer

The foundation of the doctrine is not a later theological development but the plain words of Christ himself, and when you read them without the assumption that they must be metaphor, their force is overwhelming. Christ said this would be his body and blood, he insisted on it when challenged, and he let followers leave rather than take it back.

The Bread of Life

The decisive passage is the sixth chapter of John's Gospel, the Bread of Life discourse, and it repays careful reading. Jesus tells the crowd that he is the bread of life, and then he makes the claim explicit and physical: the bread he will give is his flesh, for the life of the world. The crowd reacts exactly as a reasonable person would react to such a statement: they are scandalized, and they argue, asking how this man can give them his flesh to eat. This was Jesus's moment to clarify, to say that he was speaking symbolically, that they had misunderstood, that of course he did not mean it literally. He does the opposite. He

intensifies. He tells them that unless they eat his flesh and drink his blood they have no life in them, that his flesh is true food and his blood is true drink, that whoever eats him will live forever. The Greek word used for eat in this passage shifts to a word that means to gnaw or to chew, as physical and unmistakable as language can be. Jesus does not soften the scandal. He drives it deeper.

Unless you eat the flesh of the Son of Man and drink his blood, you have no life in you.
— John 6:53

He Let Them Walk Away

And then comes the detail that settles the matter. The Gospel tells us that after this, many of his disciples, not his enemies but his own followers, said this teaching was too hard and could not be accepted, and they turned back and no longer walked with him. They left. They abandoned Jesus over precisely this teaching, because they understood him to be claiming they must really eat his flesh, and they found it intolerable. And Jesus let them go. He did not call after them to explain that they had misunderstood, that he had only meant it as a symbol, that they need not leave over a metaphor. He watched them walk away rather than take back or soften the claim. Then he turned to the twelve and asked whether they would leave too. This is decisive. A teacher who was speaking symbolically and watched his followers leave over a literal misunderstanding would correct them; the loving thing, the honest thing, would be to clarify. Jesus did not, because there was no misunderstanding to correct. He meant exactly what they understood him to mean, and he would rather lose followers than dilute the truth. The Real Presence is not read into the Gospel by later Catholics. It is written on its face, in the one place where Jesus let disciples abandon him rather than call his words a metaphor.

This Is My Body

Then, at the Last Supper, on the night before he died, Jesus took bread, blessed it, broke it, and gave it to his apostles with the words the Church has repeated at every Mass since: this is my body, which is given for you. Over the cup he said, this is my blood, which is poured out for you. He did not say this represents my body, or this is a symbol of my body. He said this is my body. And he commanded them to do this in memory of him, establishing the Eucharist as the perpetual act of the Church. The apostles, who had heard the Bread of Life discourse, who had stayed when others left over exactly this teaching, now received from his hands what he had promised: his body and blood, given under the form of bread and wine. From that night, the Church has done as he commanded, and has always understood the words to mean what they say. This is my body. The Church has never dared to call it less than what Christ called it.

But Doesn't Jesus Speak in Metaphor Elsewhere?

A thoughtful man will raise a fair objection here. Jesus does speak in metaphor at times: he calls himself the door, the vine, the good shepherd, and no one takes those literally. Why not read the Bread of Life discourse the same way, as one more vivid metaphor? The answer lies in what happens around the words. When Jesus calls himself the door or the vine, no one is scandalized, no one argues, no one leaves, because everyone understands the figure of speech and no one imagines he is claiming to be made of wood or to grow grapes. The metaphor is obvious and causes no crisis. But in the Bread of Life discourse, the exact opposite happens. The hearers are scandalized precisely because they understand him literally, they argue about how he can give his flesh to eat, and disciples abandon him over it. And Jesus, rather than doing what he would do with any misunderstood metaphor, rather than saying, peace, I only meant it as a figure, intensifies the literal claim and lets the disciples walk away. This is the tell. A metaphor that no one misunderstands needs no defense; a metaphor that causes followers to leave over a literal misreading would be immediately clarified by an honest teacher. Jesus does neither. He drives the literal meaning deeper and accepts the loss of followers, which is precisely what a man does not do with a mere metaphor and precisely what he does do with a truth too important to soften. The behavior around the words, not just the words themselves, shows that this was no metaphor.

CHAPTER THREE

What the First Christians Believed

Not a Medieval Invention

A common assumption, even among Catholics, is that belief in the Real Presence was a later development, perhaps a medieval elaboration, and that the earliest Christians held a simpler, more symbolic view. This assumption is simply false, and the evidence against it is early, abundant, and clear. The first Christians believed in the Real Presence, plainly and without controversy, from the very beginning.

The Witness of the Early Church

The writings of the Christians of the first centuries, the generation that followed the apostles and the generations just after, speak of the Eucharist as the real body and blood of Christ with a directness that leaves no room for a symbolic reading. One of the earliest, a bishop and martyr who had known the apostles′ own disciples, writing as he traveled to his death early in the second century, warned against those who stayed away from the

Eucharist precisely because they did not confess that it is the flesh of Christ. Another great early teacher wrote that the food we call the Eucharist is not common bread, but the flesh and blood of the Jesus who was made flesh. Across the early centuries, in the East and the West, the testimony is consistent and matter-of-fact: the Eucharist is the body and blood of Christ, to be received with awe. This was not a contested point that the Church gradually decided. It was the universal faith of Christians from the start, assumed rather than argued, because it came straight from the words of Christ and the practice of the apostles.

The cup of blessing that we bless, is it not a participation in the blood of Christ? — 1 Corinthians 10:16

Paul's Warning

Even within the New Testament itself, before any Church Father wrote, the Real Presence is assumed. Saint Paul, writing to the Corinthians only a couple of decades after the resurrection, treats the Eucharist as the real body and blood of Christ with such seriousness that he warns them a man who receives it unworthily, without discerning the body, eats and drinks judgment on himself. Consider the force of that warning. One does not sin against a symbol. One cannot profane a mere reminder. Paul's grave warning makes sense only if what is received is truly the body and blood of Christ, so that to receive it carelessly or in serious sin is to commit an offense against the Lord himself. Paul, the earliest New Testament writer, already holds the full doctrine: the Eucharist is the body and blood of the Lord, and to treat it lightly is a grave matter. The Real Presence is not a doctrine the Church grew into over centuries. It is there in the oldest layer of Christian faith, in Paul, in the words of Christ he received and handed on, present from the very beginning of the Church.

An Unbroken Faith

From the apostles, through the Fathers, through two thousand years of the Church's life, this faith has been held without interruption. It was defined more precisely over the centuries, as challenges arose and the Church articulated with greater exactness what it had always believed, but the substance never changed. What Catholics believe about the Eucharist today is what Christians believed in the first century, what the martyrs died professing, what the whole undivided Church held for its first thousand years. To believe in the Real Presence is not to hold a peculiar or late development. It is to hold the oldest and most universal Christian faith about the Eucharist, the faith of the apostles themselves. The symbolic view, by contrast, is the innovation, a departure from what

Christians believed for the first fifteen centuries, and a man who has drifted into it has drifted away from, not toward, the original faith.

CHAPTER FOUR

The Word Transubstantiation

Facing the Difficulty Honestly

We must face the honest intellectual difficulty, because it is real, and pretending otherwise helps no one. The bread on the altar, after the consecration, looks exactly like bread, tastes exactly like bread, has every physical property of bread. How can the Church claim it is not bread but the body of Christ, when everything about it is unchanged? The answer the Church gives has a name, transubstantiation, and though the word sounds like forbidding jargon, the idea beneath it is graspable and worth grasping.

Substance and Appearance

The word draws on an old but useful distinction between what a thing is in its deepest reality, its substance, and how a thing appears to the senses, its appearances or, in the older term, its accidents. Normally these go together: the substance of bread comes with the appearances of bread, and when you perceive the appearances, you rightly conclude the substance is there. Transubstantiation names a unique event in which these come apart. In the consecration, the substance of the bread, what it most fundamentally is, is changed into the substance of the body of Christ, while the appearances of bread, everything the senses can detect, remain. So what lies on the altar has the entire appearance of bread, and yet in its deepest reality is no longer bread at all but the body of Christ. The change is at the level of what the thing most truly is, which is precisely the level the senses cannot reach. Your eyes and tongue report the appearances, which are unchanged; they cannot report the substance, which has been wholly transformed.

The things that are seen are transient, but the things that are unseen are eternal. — 2 Corinthians 4:18

Why This Is Not a Dodge

A skeptic might suspect this is a clever evasion, a way of claiming a change while conceding that nothing detectable has changed, so that the claim can never be tested. But the distinction between what a thing is and how it appears is not an invention to rescue a doctrine; it is a genuine and defensible feature of reality that we rely on in many contexts.

The deepest identity of a thing is not always reducible to its measurable properties. And the reason the change in the Eucharist is undetectable is not that it is unreal but that it occurs precisely at the level below appearance, the level of substance, which no instrument measures because it is not that kind of thing. God, who created both the substance and the appearances of everything, is entirely able to change the one while sustaining the other. Transubstantiation asks us to believe that God has done exactly this, changing the deepest reality of the bread into his Son while preserving the appearances so that we can still receive him under a form suited to eating. It is a mystery, genuinely beyond full comprehension, but it is not a contradiction and not a dodge. It is a precise way of saying what Christ said, this is my body, while honestly acknowledging that to the senses it remains bread.

Mystery, Not Absurdity

It is worth distinguishing a mystery from an absurdity, because the Real Presence is the former, not the latter. An absurdity is a claim that contradicts itself, that cannot be true because it violates reason. A mystery is a truth that exceeds reason, that reason cannot fully penetrate but does not contradict. The Real Presence is a mystery in this sense. Reason cannot arrive at it on its own, and reason cannot fully explain how it works, but reason, once it accepts that God exists and that Christ is God and that Christ said this is my body, finds nothing contradictory in believing that God can do what he says. The difficulty is not that the doctrine is irrational but that it is beyond us, which is exactly what we should expect of the deepest acts of God. A God whose central gift we could fully explain would be a small God. That the Eucharist exceeds our understanding is not a mark against it but a mark of its divine origin, and the right response to a genuine mystery is not to shrink it to something we can manage but to adore what we cannot comprehend.

A Note on the Eucharistic Miracles

Throughout the history of the Church there have been reported Eucharistic miracles, occasions on which the consecrated Host is said to have visibly become flesh or to have bled, some of which have been investigated and are the object of local devotion. It is worth a brief, careful word about them. The Church does not rest the doctrine of the Real Presence on such phenomena, and belief in the Real Presence does not depend on them; the doctrine stands on the words of Christ and the faith of the Church whether or not any such miracle ever occurred. Reported private miracles are not articles of faith, and a Catholic is free to weigh the evidence for any particular one. Their proper role, where they are credible, is not to prove the doctrine but to encourage devotion, to serve as signs that strengthen a faith already grounded elsewhere. So a man need not become

preoccupied with them, and should be wary of resting his faith on dramatic signs rather than on the word of Christ. But neither should he dismiss the long testimony out of hand. Take them for what the Church takes them: not the foundation of belief, but occasional signs that God gives, for those who need them, of a presence that is real whether or not it is ever made visible.

CHAPTER FIVE

The Collapse of Belief

How We Got Here

If the Real Presence is so clearly the ancient and biblical faith, how did it come to pass that most Catholics no longer believe it? Understanding the collapse helps a man guard against it in himself and recover what has been lost.

A Failure of Teaching

The primary cause is not malice or open rejection but simple failure of transmission. For a variety of reasons over recent generations, the clear and confident teaching of the Real Presence weakened. Catechesis, the handing on of the faith, in many places became vague, emphasizing community and symbol and feeling, and neglecting to teach plainly and insistently the astonishing thing the Church believes about the Eucharist. A generation grew up hearing the Mass described mainly as a communal meal and a celebration of togetherness, true as far as it goes, while the harder, stranger, infinitely more important truth, that the bread becomes God, was muted or lost. Men were not, for the most part, argued out of belief in the Real Presence. They simply were never given it with the force it deserves, and so it faded, and a symbolic understanding, which asks nothing extraordinary and disturbs nothing, filled the vacuum. The collapse is largely a collapse of teaching, which means it can be repaired by teaching, which is part of what this book is for.

Faith comes from hearing, and hearing through the word of Christ. — Romans 10:17

The Erosion of Awe

Alongside the failure of teaching came an erosion of the outward signs that once carried the truth. When belief is strong, it expresses itself in reverence, in silence, in the care taken with the sacred, and these outward signs in turn teach and sustain the belief. As reverence relaxed in many places, the diminished awe both reflected and deepened the

fading belief. A man who sees the Eucharist treated casually will, over time, come to think of it casually, and a man who never sees anyone tremble before it will not easily learn to tremble himself. The outward and the inward decline together. This does not mean reverence can be manufactured to produce belief, but it does mean that the recovery of belief and the recovery of reverence go hand in hand, and that a man serious about recovering the truth of the Real Presence should also recover the reverence proper to it: the genuflection made with attention, the silence kept in the presence of the tabernacle, the care and awe that are simply the truth made visible in the body.

The Cultural Pressure

There is also a broader cultural pressure that makes the Real Presence hard for the modern man to hold. We live in an age that trusts only the measurable, that instinctively treats as real only what the senses and instruments can detect, and that dismisses the invisible as unreal or merely subjective. A doctrine that asks a man to believe that the deepest reality of a thing has changed while everything measurable stays the same runs directly against this reflex. The modern man is trained to say, but it is obviously still bread, look at it, taste it, test it. Recovering belief in the Real Presence therefore requires, for many men, a deeper recovery: the recovery of the truth that reality is larger than the measurable, that the most important things, God, the soul, love, are precisely the things no instrument detects, and that a faith which trusts only what can be measured has already excluded almost everything that matters most. The Real Presence asks a man to believe that the invisible is more real than the visible, which is hard for a modern man, and also exactly true.

CHAPTER SIX

What This Should Do to You

Living in the Presence

Doctrine that stays in the head is only half received. If the Real Presence is true, and it is, then it should change how a man lives, concretely, in ways that follow directly from taking it seriously. Here is what the truth should do to you.

How You Receive

First, it should transform how you receive Communion. If what you receive is truly the living God, then the moment of Communion is the most intimate and staggering moment of your week, the God who made the universe entering your body. This deserves

preparation, attention, and awe. It means examining yourself before you approach, and never receiving in a state of serious unconfessed sin, out of reverence for what you are receiving and heed of Paul's warning. It means a moment of real recognition as you receive, an interior act of faith and adoration, Lord, it is you. And it means the minutes after Communion are not a time to look around and think about lunch, but a rare and precious interval in which the living God is physically present within you, to be adored and spoken to from the depths. Most Catholic men have received Communion thousands of times on autopilot. The truth of the Real Presence should end the autopilot forever, and make of each Communion what it actually is: the reception of God himself.

Whoever eats my flesh and drinks my blood abides in me, and I in him. — John 6:56

The Tabernacle in Your Parish

Second, it should change your relationship to the tabernacle. In your parish church, in the tabernacle marked by the burning lamp, the Eucharist is reserved, which means the living Christ is present there, really, at all hours, whether or not anyone is in the building. This is an astonishing fact that most Catholics live beside without registering. The living God waits, in that box, in that quiet church, a few minutes from your home, at every hour of every day. He is there now. He will be there tonight. A man who grasps this cannot stay away. He begins to stop in, on the way home, for a few minutes, to sit in the presence of the Lord who waits there. He discovers Eucharistic Adoration, the practice of sitting in silent worship before the Blessed Sacrament, and finds it to be one of the most powerful prayers available to him, simply being in the physical presence of Christ. The tabernacle stops being furniture and becomes what it is: the dwelling place of God among men, a few minutes from your door, always open, always occupied by the One who most wants to see you.

The Weight of the Mass

Third, it should change the weight the Mass carries in your life. If the Real Presence is true, then what happens at Mass is the most important event that occurs anywhere on earth in that hour, the re-presentation of Christ's sacrifice and the coming of God onto the altar. This should end forever the sense of Mass as an obligation to be endured, a box to be checked, a matter of showing up. The man who knows what is happening at Mass approaches it as the summit of his week, the moment when heaven touches earth and he is given God himself to receive. He arrives with attention. He engages with what is happening. He is not bored, because a man is not bored in the presence of the most important thing that could possibly be occurring. The recovery of the Real Presence is the cure for the boredom of the pew, because boredom at Mass is finally just a failure to

perceive what is actually there. When a man perceives it, the boredom is impossible, replaced by the only appropriate responses to the presence of God: awe, gratitude, and worship.

Food for the Battle

There is one more thing the Real Presence should do for a man, and it is intensely practical. The Christian life is a fight, a daily struggle against sin and weakness and the pull of the world, as the books on spiritual warfare in this library make plain. A soldier in a long campaign needs to eat, needs real sustenance to keep going, and God has provided exactly this in the Eucharist. The Eucharist is not only the presence of Christ to be adored; it is food, given as food, to strengthen a man for the battle he is in. When a man receives Communion, he receives the living God as nourishment for his soul, real strength for the real fight, grace to resist the temptation that keeps defeating him, power to love when he is depleted, endurance to keep going when he wants to quit. The man who understands the Real Presence understands that he is not fighting on his own resources but is fed, weekly, with God himself. This changes how a man approaches Communion: not only as an act of worship but as the taking of strength, the soldier eating before the march, the exhausted man receiving the one food that can sustain a supernatural life. Frequent, worthy reception of the Eucharist is among the most practical things a man can do for his spiritual strength, because it is, quite literally, being fed by God for the fight of his life.

CHAPTER SEVEN

Recovering the Awe

Practical Steps

Knowing the truth is the foundation, but a man can know the doctrine and still find that the old autopilot reasserts itself the next Sunday. Recovering a living sense of the Real Presence takes some deliberate practice. Here are practical steps.

Make an Act of Faith

Begin by making a deliberate act of faith at Mass, especially at the consecration and at Communion. When the priest raises the Host and says this is my body, do not let the moment pass unattended; make an interior act of faith, telling the Lord that you believe he is truly present, that this is not bread but his body, adoring him in that moment. The traditional response of the doubting apostle Thomas, my Lord and my God, is a fitting

prayer at the elevation, and many Catholics say it silently as the Host is raised. These deliberate acts of faith, repeated week after week, slowly rebuild the living awareness that autopilot destroyed. You are training yourself to perceive what is there, and the training works.

My Lord and my God! — John 20:28

Spend Time Before the Blessed Sacrament

Nothing rebuilds a sense of the Real Presence like time spent in its physical presence. Go to Eucharistic Adoration, or simply stop into your parish church when the tabernacle is present, and sit quietly before the Lord. You do not need to do anything elaborate; the companion book in this library on Eucharistic Adoration addresses what to do with the time. Simply be there, in the presence of Christ, as you would sit with a friend, and let the reality sink in through repeated exposure. A man who spends regular quiet time before the Blessed Sacrament finds that his sense of the Real Presence deepens almost on its own, because he is repeatedly placing himself in the presence of the living God and letting that presence become real to him. Belief grows through nearness. Draw near, regularly, and the truth will take on flesh in you.

Recover Reverence in the Body

Let your body express and reinforce the truth. Genuflect toward the tabernacle with real attention, not as an empty habit but as a deliberate act of worship toward the Christ who is present there. Keep silence in church, guarding the sacred quiet that the presence deserves. Prepare your body and soul before Communion and give thanks after. These bodily acts of reverence are not mere externals; you are an embodied creature, and what your body does shapes what your soul believes. The man who trains his body in reverence toward the Eucharist finds his interior belief strengthened by the outward practice, because in the Catholic understanding the outward and the inward are meant to work together, each supporting the other. Recover the reverence, and the reverence will help recover the belief.

Receive Often, and Worthily

Finally, on the practical level, receive the Eucharist frequently and worthily, because the sacrament itself is the surest means of growing in appreciation of the sacrament. Frequent reception, weekly at Mass and more often if a man can attend daily Mass even occasionally, immerses a man in the Real Presence and deepens his union with Christ over time. But receive worthily: the same reverence that recognizes the Real Presence also recognizes that one must not receive the Lord in a state of serious unconfessed sin,

and so the practice of the Real Presence is bound up with the practice of Confession, addressed in its own book in this library. The two sacraments work together: Confession restores a man to the state in which he can worthily receive, and the Eucharist strengthens him to live well until he needs Confession again. A rhythm of regular Confession and frequent worthy Communion is the ordinary engine of a serious Catholic man's spiritual life, and it flows directly from taking the Real Presence seriously. Receive often, receive worthily, and let the frequent reception of God himself do its slow, deep work of transforming you into what you receive.

CHAPTER EIGHT

The God Who Stays

Why He Did It This Way

Step back, at the end, and consider the sheer astonishment of what the Real Presence means about God, because familiarity may have dulled you to how staggering it is. Ask not only whether it is true but why God would do such a thing, and the answer reveals the heart of the whole faith.

He Would Not Leave

When Christ ascended into heaven, he could have left his followers with only a memory, a set of teachings, a book, the ordinary way that a great teacher survives his death. Instead he found a way to stay. In the Eucharist, Christ remains physically present with his people, not merely in memory or in spirit but really, bodily, under the appearance of bread, in every tabernacle in the world, until the end of time. He would not leave. The God who so loved the world that he became flesh and died for it also so loved the world that he found a way to remain physically within it, present and available, to be received into the very bodies of those he loves. The Real Presence is the measure of a love that would not settle for being remembered, that insisted on staying, that made itself present and receivable so that no believer, in any century, in any place, would be without him. This is why the Eucharist is called the source and summit of the faith: because in it, the whole meaning of the Incarnation, God drawing near, God with us, God given for us, is perpetuated and made available to every man who will come.

Behold, I am with you always, to the end of the age. — Matthew 28:20

Given as Food

And consider that he gives himself as food, to be eaten. There is a staggering intimacy in this that even the Incarnation does not fully contain. God does not merely dwell among us or beside us; he gives himself to be taken into us, to become, in a sense, part of us, uniting himself to us more closely than any other union on earth. When you receive the Eucharist, Christ is not merely near you; he is within you, his body united to yours, his life poured into yours. This is the closest union with God available to a human being this side of heaven, and God designed it, chose to give himself under the form of food precisely so that this most intimate union could occur. That the almighty God would make himself into food to be eaten by his creatures, so as to unite himself to them as closely as possible, is a humility and a love beyond comprehension, and it is exactly what happens at every Mass, and exactly what you receive every time you approach the altar.

The Response He Wants

What does God want in return for this gift? Not much, and everything. He wants to be recognized, received with faith and love rather than on autopilot. He wants to be adored, given the worship due to him, rather than treated as ordinary. And he wants to be received, drawn near to, welcomed, so that the union he made possible actually occurs in a man′s life. The tragedy of the collapse of belief is not that God is diminished by our failure to recognize him; he remains exactly what he is on the altar whether we perceive it or not. The tragedy is that men stand in the presence of the greatest gift ever given and do not see it, hold the living God in their hands and think they hold bread, and so receive on autopilot what they might have received in awe and union. This book has been an attempt to open your eyes to what has been on the altar the whole time. He is there. He has always been there. He waits, as always, to be recognized, adored, and received.

CONCLUSION

Lord, It Is You

Return to the claim at the center, the one on which everything turns: that the bread on the altar becomes the body of Christ, truly, really, substantially, so that the living God is present in every tabernacle in the world, to be adored and received. This book has tried to show that the claim is exactly what Christ said, in words so plain he let followers leave rather than soften them; that it is what the first Christians believed, universally, from the beginning; that it is a mystery beyond our understanding but not against our reason; and

that its collapse among modern Catholics is a failure of teaching and awe that can be repaired.

But in the end, the Real Presence is not finally a doctrine to be proved. It is a Person to be recognized. The disciples on the road to Emmaus walked for hours beside the risen Christ without knowing him, and they recognized him at last in the breaking of the bread, in the Eucharistic act, when their eyes were opened and they knew him. That is the movement this book has hoped to begin in you: the opening of the eyes, the recognition, the moment when a man who has received Communion a thousand times without seeing finally sees, and knows, and says from the depths, Lord, it is you.

So the next time you are at Mass, and the priest raises the Host and says the words of Christ, this is my body, do not let the moment pass on autopilot as it may have passed for years. Look. Recognize. Make the act of faith. And as you come forward to receive, know what you are receiving: not bread, not a symbol, not a reminder, but the living God, who so loved you that he would not leave, who found a way to stay, who gives himself to you as food so that he might be united to you as closely as God can be united to a man. He is on the altar. He is in the tabernacle of your parish, waiting, now, at this hour. And he asks only to be recognized, adored, and received.

The most important thing in the room has been there the whole time. Learn to see it, and everything changes. He is there. He is waiting. Go to him.

✦ ✦ ✦

The Real Presence: What Is Actually on the Altar is part of The Daily Bread Library.