THE DAILY BREAD

The Mission of the Apostles



Apologetics

Defending What You Believe

A FORMATION BOOK BY

Kendall Peterson

The Everyday Apostle

THE DAILY BREAD

The Mission of the Apostles

Apologetics

Defending What You Believe

✦ ✦ ✦

A Daily Bread Formation Book

The Everyday Apostle

INTRODUCTION

Ready With a Reason

Sooner or later, every Christian is asked to give an account of what he believes. It may come as a sincere question from a searching friend, a sharp challenge from a skeptical coworker, a hard question from his own child, or a mocking jab from someone who has already decided the faith is foolish. And in that moment many Christians freeze, caught without an answer, able to say that they believe but not why, and they come away shaken, embarrassed, and quietly wondering whether their faith can really withstand scrutiny. This experience, repeated often enough, drives many believers to avoid the subject entirely, keeping their faith safely private so as never to be put on the spot.

This book is about being ready, about acquiring the ability to give a reasoned account of what one believes and why, which the Christian tradition calls apologetics. The word has nothing to do with apologizing; it comes from a term meaning a reasoned defense, and it names the ancient and honorable task of being able to explain and defend the faith to those who question it. This is not a task for scholars and specialists only; Scripture commands every believer to be ready to give a reason for his hope, and the aim of this book is to equip the ordinary Christian man to do exactly that, not to win every argument or become a professional debater, but to be able to stand his ground, explain his faith, and point honest questioners toward the truth.

Always be prepared to make a defense to anyone who asks you for a reason for the hope that is in you, yet do it with gentleness and respect. — 1 Peter 3:15

We will begin with why apologetics matters and why every believer is called to it. We will see that faith and reason are not enemies, as the modern world assumes, but allies. We will take up the great foundational questions, the existence of God and the credibility of Christ, on which everything else rests. We will learn how to handle the hardest challenges, especially the problem of suffering and the objections that most trouble people. We will consider the particular questions raised about the Catholic faith specifically. And we will keep always before us the manner that Scripture commands, the gentleness and respect without which even true arguments do harm, for the goal of apologetics is never to win but to draw.

CHAPTER ONE

Why Every Believer Must Be Ready

The Command to Give a Reason

Many Christians assume that defending the faith is a task for experts, that apologetics belongs to theologians and professional apologists while the ordinary believer need only believe. But Scripture addresses its command to be ready with a reason to every Christian, not to a specialist class, and there are compelling reasons why every believer, in our age especially, needs to be able to give an account of his faith. Understanding why apologetics is every believer's business, and not only the expert's, is the necessary starting point.

Contend for the faith that was once for all delivered to the saints. — Jude 3

The Command Is to Everyone

The scriptural command to be ready to give a reason for one's hope is addressed not to a special class of defenders but to every Christian, placing on each believer the responsibility to be able to account for his faith. Saint Peter writes to ordinary Christians, not to theologians, when he tells them always to be prepared to make a defense to anyone who asks them for a reason for their hope, and the command assumes that every believer should be able to do this, at least at the level of his own faith and the questions he is likely to face. This does not require every Christian to be a scholar or to master every argument, but it does require each one to be able to give some reasoned account of why he believes what he believes, to not be left mute and helpless when questioned. The command is universal, and the believer who excuses himself from all apologetics on the grounds that he is no expert has evaded a responsibility that Scripture places on every Christian. To be ready with a reason is part of the basic equipment of a mature believer, and every Christian is called to acquire it at the level his own life requires.

A Questioning Age

The need for every believer to be ready is especially acute in our age, a time of pervasive skepticism in which the faith is constantly questioned, challenged, and mocked, and in which a Christian who cannot account for his beliefs is left defenseless. We no longer live in a culture that assumes the faith; we live in one that doubts or opposes it, where a believer's children are catechized in unbelief by their schools and their screens, where skeptical challenges are everywhere, and where the Christian who cannot give a reason for his faith is vulnerable both to the doubts of others and to his own. In such an age, a faith that cannot explain or defend itself is fragile, easily shaken by the first serious challenge, and the believer who has never thought through why he believes is at the mercy of every clever skeptic and every hard question. The questioning age demands that believers be ready, that they have thought through their faith and can account for it, both

to withstand the challenges they will inevitably face and to help the many who are genuinely searching amid the confusion. In a skeptical time, apologetics is not a luxury but a necessity for every believer.

For Your Own Faith and Others'

Being ready with a reason serves two purposes at once, strengthening the believer's own faith and equipping him to help others, and both are reasons every Christian should acquire it. For the believer himself, thinking through why he believes deepens and secures his own faith, giving it roots that can withstand doubt and challenge, so that apologetics is not only outward defense but inward strengthening, the difference between a faith merely inherited and one understood and owned. And for others, the believer's readiness equips him to help the searching and to answer the questioning, to remove intellectual obstacles that keep people from faith and to give honest seekers the reasons they need. A Christian who has done the work of understanding his faith is thus doubly served: his own faith is stronger and more secure, and he is able to help others toward the faith rather than standing helpless before their questions. Both the health of a believer's own faith and his capacity to serve others, then, call him to be ready with a reason, and the believer who acquires this readiness both secures his own faith and becomes able to help the many around him who are asking.

CHAPTER TWO

Faith and Reason Are Not Enemies

Clearing Away a Great Myth

Before taking up specific arguments, a man must clear away the great modern myth that stands behind most hostility to the faith: the notion that faith and reason are opposed, that to believe is to abandon reason, and that the religious person believes without evidence while the skeptic follows the evidence wherever it leads. This myth is false, and it is a false framing that puts the believer at an unfair disadvantage from the start. Recovering the true relationship between faith and reason, which are allies and not enemies, is foundational to all apologetics, for until this myth is dispelled the believer argues on hostile and mistaken terms.

Come now, let us reason together, says the Lord. — Isaiah 1:18

The Myth of Blind Faith

The modern world widely assumes that faith means believing without reason or evidence, a blind leap taken in defiance of the facts, and that reason belongs to the skeptic while the believer has only his unfounded faith. This caricature is deeply embedded in the culture, and many believers have half-accepted it, vaguely feeling that their faith is a matter of feeling rather than reason and conceding the ground of rationality to the skeptic. But it is a caricature and a myth, for the Christian faith has never understood itself as blind, as believing against reason or without grounds; the great Christian tradition has always held that faith is reasonable, supported by evidence and argument, and fully compatible with the rigorous use of reason. The believer need not concede that his faith is a blind leap, for it is not; it is a reasoned conviction, grounded in evidence and defensible by argument, and the myth of blind faith is simply false. Dispelling this myth is the first task of apologetics, for as long as the believer accepts the false framing that faith is opposed to reason, he has conceded the argument before it begins, and he must instead reclaim the truth that his faith is reasonable.

Reason Points Toward God

Far from being opposed to the faith, reason itself points toward God, for the great questions of existence, rightly pursued, lead the honest mind toward rather than away from belief, and some of the most powerful arguments for God are arguments of reason. The existence of a universe that might not have existed, the order and intelligibility of that universe, the reality of the moral law, the existence of consciousness and meaning, all of these raise questions that point reason toward a transcendent source, and the Christian tradition has developed rigorous rational arguments for the existence of God from these starting points. Reason, honestly followed, does not end in atheism but raises questions that God answers, and the believer can argue with confidence that his faith is where reason leads rather than where it is abandoned. This does not mean reason can prove every article of faith, for some truths are known only by revelation, but it means that the foundations of faith, the existence of God above all, are supported by reason, and that the believer stands on rational ground. The skeptic's claim to own reason is false; reason, pursued honestly, points toward God, and the believer can follow it there with full intellectual integrity.

Faith Completes Reason

The true relationship between faith and reason is not opposition but cooperation, in which reason leads toward God and prepares the way, and faith completes what reason begins by receiving the fuller truth that God reveals. Reason can take a man a long way, to the existence of God and the credibility of revelation, but there are truths that exceed reason's reach, which God makes known by revelation and which faith receives, so that

faith does not contradict reason but completes it, carrying the mind beyond where reason alone could go. The two are allies in the pursuit of truth, reason establishing the foundations and faith building upon them, each serving the one truth that God is the author of both. This vision, in which faith and reason work together rather than against each other, is the authentic Christian understanding, and it frees the believer from the false choice between being rational and being faithful. He can be fully both, following reason as far as it goes and receiving in faith the truths beyond it, confident that there is no final conflict between them because both come from God. Faith and reason are not enemies but partners, and the believer who grasps this argues from a position of strength, claiming reason as his ally rather than conceding it to the skeptic.

CHAPTER THREE

The Questions That Matter Most

God, and the Credibility of Christ

Apologetics could range over countless questions, but a few foundational ones carry the most weight, for if these are settled much else follows, and if they are not, nothing else can stand. The two great foundational questions are whether God exists and whether Jesus Christ is who the faith claims he is, and a believer who can speak intelligently to these two has the foundation on which everything else rests. We cannot here develop the arguments in full, but a man should know the main lines, so that he can speak to the questions that matter most rather than losing himself in peripheral disputes.

In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth. — Genesis 1:1

Does God Exist

The first and most foundational question is whether God exists, and the believer should know that there are serious, time-tested arguments pointing toward the answer yes, so that belief in God is reasonable and well-grounded rather than a mere assumption. The great arguments of the tradition approach the question from several angles: that the universe which might not have existed requires a cause beyond itself; that the order and intelligibility of the cosmos point to a mind behind it; that the reality of the moral law points to a lawgiver; that the existence of anything at all, rather than nothing, cries out for an explanation that only God can finally give. A believer need not master all these arguments in technical detail, but he should grasp their basic thrust, that the existence of God is a reasonable conclusion supported by serious argument, so that he can respond to the claim that belief in God is irrational by showing that it is, on the contrary, well-

founded. The existence of God is the foundation of everything else, and the believer who can speak intelligently to this question, even at a basic level, stands on the ground on which all the rest of the faith is built.

Who Is Jesus

The second foundational question, and the one that distinguishes Christianity specifically, is the identity of Jesus Christ, whether he is who the faith claims, the Son of God, and here the believer should know the lines of argument for the credibility of Christ. The historical reality of Jesus, the testimony of those who knew him and died for their testimony, the evidence for his resurrection, the transformation of the fearful disciples into fearless witnesses, the explosive growth of the early Church against all odds, all of these constitute a serious case for the truth of the Christian claim about Jesus. There is also the famous argument that Jesus, given the claims he made about himself, cannot be merely a good teacher, for a man who claimed what he claimed is either telling the truth, or a liar, or deluded, and the quality of his life and teaching makes the latter two implausible, leaving the possibility that he was telling the truth. The believer who can speak to the credibility of Christ, to the historical evidence and the force of the claims, can address the question at the very heart of the Christian faith. Jesus is the center, and the case for his identity is the case for Christianity itself, which the believer should be able to present at least in its main lines.

Enough to Be Reasonable

The believer need not prove the faith with mathematical certainty, which is not available for any of the great questions of life, but only show that it is reasonable, that belief is intellectually respectable and well-grounded, which is all that honest apologetics claims. No argument compels belief, for faith remains free and the evidence, while real, is not coercive; the aim of apologetics is not to force assent but to show that faith is reasonable, that the believer has good grounds, that belief is at least as intellectually defensible as unbelief and arguably more so. This is a liberating recognition, for it relieves the believer of the impossible burden of proving his faith beyond all doubt and lets him aim at the achievable goal of showing it reasonable, of removing the objection that faith is irrational and demonstrating that it stands on solid ground. The skeptic often demands a certainty that no position on the ultimate questions can provide, and the believer should not accept this unfair standard but should show that his faith meets the reasonable standard that actually applies, that it is well-grounded, defensible, and at least as rational as its alternatives. To show the faith reasonable, not to prove it beyond all doubt, is the honest and achievable aim, and it is enough.

CHAPTER FOUR

The Hardest Challenge

Suffering and the Goodness of God

Of all the objections raised against the faith, one stands out as the hardest and the most deeply felt: the problem of suffering, the question of how a good and all-powerful God can permit the evil and suffering that fill the world. This is not merely an intellectual puzzle but often a cry of the heart, raised by those who have suffered or watched others suffer, and it is the objection most likely to shake a believer's own faith and to trouble those he speaks with. A man cannot be ready to defend the faith without having thought through this hardest of challenges, and handling it well requires both intellectual care and deep pastoral sensitivity.

For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways, declares the Lord. — Isaiah 55:8

Taking the Objection Seriously

The first requirement in facing the problem of suffering is to take it with full seriousness, to resist the temptation to offer glib answers that minimize the real weight of the objection, for suffering is a genuine mystery and those who raise it often do so from real pain. The believer who responds to the problem of evil with easy answers, who waves away the suffering of the world with a quick formula, does the faith a disservice and wounds those he speaks with, for the objection is serious and the suffering is real. The honest apologist acknowledges the full weight of the problem, grants that the suffering of the world is a genuine and terrible mystery, and does not pretend to a complete answer that no one actually possesses. This honesty is itself important, for it shows respect for the reality of suffering and for those who struggle with it, and it establishes the believer as someone who faces the hard questions honestly rather than evading them. To take the objection seriously, to grant its weight and its mystery, is the necessary beginning of any honest response to the problem of suffering, and the believer who does so speaks with a credibility that the glib answer forfeits.

What the Faith Can Say

Though no complete answer to the mystery of suffering is available, the faith can say real and helpful things about it, offering not a full explanation but genuine light on a dark question. It can point to human freedom, that much evil flows from the free choices of creatures whom God made free because freedom is a great good, and that a world of

genuine freedom necessarily permits the possibility of evil. It can point to the mystery of a greater good that God may bring out of permitted evil, as he brought the redemption of the world out of the greatest evil of the crucifixion. And above all it can point to the cross, to the astonishing truth that God himself entered into human suffering, that in Christ God did not remain aloof from suffering but took it upon himself, suffering with and for us, so that the Christian God is not a distant deity indifferent to suffering but one who has shared it to the depths. These considerations do not dissolve the mystery, but they offer real light, showing that the faith has serious and profound things to say about suffering, and that the Christian response to suffering is not a glib answer but the mystery of a God who suffers alongside his creatures. The believer can offer these, honestly presented as partial light rather than complete explanation, and they are genuinely helpful.

The Cross as the Christian Answer

The deepest Christian response to suffering is finally not an argument but the cross, the revelation of a God who does not explain suffering from a safe distance but enters into it, and this is what the believer can offer that no other worldview can. Every philosophy must grapple with suffering, but only Christianity proclaims a God who took suffering upon himself, who hung on a cross, who knows human pain from the inside, and who transformed suffering from within by making it the means of redemption. This does not answer the intellectual question of why God permits suffering, but it answers the deeper question of whether God cares, whether he is with us in our suffering, and the answer of the cross is that he is, that he has entered our suffering and transformed it, that suffering is not meaningless but can be joined to his and made redemptive. For the person actually suffering, this is often more helpful than any argument, for they need not an explanation but a God who is with them, and the cross offers exactly that. The believer facing the problem of suffering, then, can point finally to the cross, to the God who suffers with us, as the Christian answer that, while it does not dissolve the mystery, speaks to its heart as nothing else can.

CHAPTER FIVE

Common Objections

Answering the Usual Challenges

Beyond the great foundational questions and the problem of suffering, a believer will encounter a set of common objections that recur again and again, the usual challenges that skeptics raise, and he does well to have thought through these so that he is not

caught off guard. These objections are often raised as if they were devastating, but most have reasonable answers that the prepared believer can give, and knowing the main ones removes much of their force. A man need not have an exhaustive answer to every possible challenge, but familiarity with the common objections equips him for most of what he will actually face.

See to it that no one takes you captive by philosophy and empty deceit… not according to Christ. — Colossians 2:8

Science Has Disproved Religion

One of the most common objections holds that science has disproved religion, that in an age of scientific knowledge faith is an outdated superstition that educated people have outgrown, but this objection rests on confusions that the believer can address. Science and faith address different questions: science investigates how the physical world works, while faith addresses questions of meaning, purpose, morality, and the existence of God, questions that science by its nature cannot answer, so that the two are not in competition but address different domains. Science has not disproved God, and cannot, for the existence of God is not a scientific question amenable to scientific methods; the claim that science has disproved religion confuses the domains and asserts far more than science can actually establish. The believer can also point out that many of the greatest scientists have been believers, that science itself arose in a Christian culture that expected the universe to be orderly and intelligible, and that there is no real conflict between genuine science and genuine faith, only between science overreaching its bounds and a faith that misunderstands itself. The objection that science has disproved religion, then, rests on a confusion of domains and an overreach of science, and the prepared believer can answer it by clarifying what science can and cannot establish.

Religion Causes Violence and Hypocrisy

Another common objection points to the violence and hypocrisy associated with religion, to the wars, cruelties, and failings of religious people, as evidence that religion is harmful or false, and the believer must be able to respond honestly to this real challenge. The honest response begins by acknowledging the truth in the charge, that religious people have indeed committed terrible evils and that hypocrisy among believers is real and damaging, for denying this would be dishonest and would discredit the believer. But the objection proves less than it claims, for the evils done by religious people are done in violation of their faith's own teaching, not in accordance with it, so that the hypocrite and the religious murderer are betraying their faith rather than expressing it; the failing is in the people, not the faith they fail to live. Moreover, the objection ignores the immense

good that religion has done, the hospitals, charities, and works of mercy, the civilizing and humanizing influence, the countless lives transformed for the better, and it conveniently forgets the vastly greater violence of the explicitly anti-religious regimes of the modern age. The believer can thus acknowledge the real failings of religious people while showing that these are betrayals of the faith rather than expressions of it, and that the full picture, including religion's immense good, tells a very different story than the objection suggests.

Admit What You Don't Know

In handling objections, one of the most important and most neglected skills is the honest admission of what one does not know, the willingness to say I don't know the answer to that rather than bluffing, for honesty about one's limits strengthens rather than weakens the believer's credibility. No believer can answer every objection, and the attempt to appear to have an answer for everything, to bluff one's way through questions one cannot really address, is both dishonest and transparent, damaging the believer's credibility when the bluff is seen through. Far better is the honest admission that one does not know, that this is a hard question one has not fully worked out, perhaps joined with a willingness to look into it or to point the questioner to those who have addressed it more fully. This honesty is itself a kind of witness, showing that the believer is a truthful person seeking truth rather than a propagandist with an answer for everything, and it preserves his credibility for the questions he can answer. The believer should therefore never bluff, never pretend to knowledge he lacks, but should answer what he can, admit what he cannot, and be the honest seeker of truth that genuine apologetics requires. To admit what one does not know is not a failure of apologetics but a mark of its integrity, and it serves the believer far better than any bluff.

CHAPTER SIX

Defending the Catholic Faith

The Questions Raised About the Church

Beyond the questions common to all Christianity, the Catholic believer faces particular challenges raised against the Catholic faith specifically, objections concerning the authority of the Church, her distinctive teachings and practices, and her history, and he does well to be able to speak to these. These Catholic-specific questions come both from non-Christians and from other Christians, and the Catholic should be able to give a reasoned account of the distinctive elements of his faith. We cannot treat them all, but a

man should know the main lines of response to the questions most commonly raised about the Catholic Church in particular.

And I tell you, you are Peter, and on this rock I will build my church. — Matthew 16:18

Authority and the Church

Many questions about the Catholic faith come down finally to the question of authority, to why Catholics believe the Church has the authority she claims, and the Catholic should be able to give a reasoned account of this foundational claim. The Catholic case rests on the conviction that Christ established a Church with real authority, that he gave to Peter and the apostles a genuine teaching authority to be handed down through their successors, and that this authority is the means by which the faith has been reliably preserved and transmitted across two thousand years. The believer can point to the scriptural foundations, to Christ's words to Peter, to the evident need for an authoritative interpreter if the faith is not to dissolve into endless private disagreement, and to the historical reality of the Church that has carried the faith through the centuries. The question of authority underlies most distinctively Catholic beliefs, for once the authority of the Church is granted, her particular teachings follow as the authentic development and preservation of what Christ entrusted to her, and the Catholic apologist does well to recognize that defending the Church's authority is often the key to defending the particular Catholic teachings that flow from it. To give a reasoned account of why the Church has the authority she claims is thus central to Catholic apologetics.

The Distinctive Teachings

The Catholic faces particular questions about the distinctive Catholic teachings and practices, concerning Mary, the saints, the sacraments, the papacy, purgatory, and more, and he should be able to explain these in a way that shows they are reasonable developments of the faith rather than the distortions they are often assumed to be. Many objections to Catholic teachings rest on misunderstandings, on caricatures of what the Church actually teaches, so that the first task is often simply to explain the teaching accurately, dispelling the caricature; Catholics do not worship Mary or the saints but honor them and ask their prayers, do not believe they can earn salvation but that grace works through them, and so on. Beyond clarifying misunderstandings, the Catholic can show that the distinctive teachings have real foundations in Scripture and the tradition, that they are coherent developments of the faith rather than arbitrary additions, and that they make sense within the whole Catholic vision. The believer need not be able to defend every teaching in technical detail, but he should be able to explain the main ones accurately and to show that they are reasonable, dispelling the caricatures and presenting

the teachings as they actually are. Much Catholic apologetics is simply this work of accurate explanation, showing that the real teaching is far more reasonable than the caricature the objector has in mind.

The Burden of History

The Catholic apologist must also be ready to address the Church's history, the dark chapters and scandals that are often raised against her, and he does well to handle these with the same honesty that the problem of suffering requires. The Church's history includes real failures, corruptions, and scandals, and the honest Catholic acknowledges these rather than denying or minimizing them, for denial only discredits him and the faith. But as with the general objection about religious hypocrisy, these failures are betrayals of the Church's own teaching rather than expressions of it, the sins of churchmen who failed the standard the Church herself proclaims, and they must be weighed against the immense good the Church has done across the centuries. The Catholic can also distinguish the Church's divine constitution and teaching, which are holy, from the human failures of her members, which are real but do not touch the truth of what she is and teaches. Handling the burden of history well requires honesty about the failures, the distinction between the Church's holiness and her members' sins, and the fuller picture that includes the Church's immense good, and the Catholic who addresses his Church's history in this honest and balanced way defends her far more effectively than one who denies or excuses. The scandals, honestly faced, do not disprove the faith, and the Catholic can show why.

CHAPTER SEVEN

The Manner Is the Message

Why How You Argue Matters More Than Winning

We come finally to the truth that governs all genuine apologetics and without which even the best arguments do harm: that the manner in which a believer defends the faith matters as much as the content, and that the goal is never to win an argument but to draw a person toward the truth. Scripture itself, in commanding the believer to give a reason for his hope, immediately specifies that he must do it with gentleness and respect, making the manner part of the command. A man who masters every argument but argues with arrogance and hostility does the faith more harm than good, and understanding why the manner is itself the message completes the whole of apologetics.

And the Lord's servant must not be quarrelsome but kind to everyone, able to teach, patiently enduring evil. — 2 Timothy 2:24

Gentleness and Respect

Scripture commands that the defense of the faith be made with gentleness and respect, and this is not an optional nicety but an essential part of the command, for the manner of apologetics is inseparable from its content. A believer who defends the faith with arrogance, contempt, or hostility contradicts the very faith he defends, for the faith is one of love and humility, and a defense conducted in a spirit opposed to that faith undermines itself, repelling people from a faith whose defender embodies its opposite. Gentleness and respect are therefore not weakness but integrity, the alignment of the manner of defense with the content of the faith, and they are also simply effective, for people are drawn by gentleness and respect and repelled by arrogance and contempt, whatever the quality of the arguments. The believer must therefore defend the faith in a manner worthy of it, with the gentleness and respect that Scripture commands, treating his interlocutor with genuine respect as a person of worth rather than an opponent to be crushed. The manner is part of the message, and the believer who argues with gentleness and respect commends the faith even through the way he defends it, while the one who argues harshly discredits it.

Winning the Person, Not the Argument

The goal of apologetics is never to win the argument but to win the person, to draw them toward the truth, and these are very different aims that often require opposite approaches. It is entirely possible to win an argument and lose the person, to defeat someone intellectually in a way that humiliates them and hardens them against the faith, so that the victory in the argument is a defeat in the only thing that matters. The believer must keep his true goal always in view, which is not to score a victory or prove himself right but to draw the person toward Christ, and this goal often requires restraint, the willingness not to press an advantage, not to humiliate, not to win at the cost of the relationship. A man who argues to win treats his interlocutor as an opponent; a man who argues to draw treats him as a soul to be loved toward the truth, and the difference is felt and determines the outcome. The believer should therefore hold his true aim firmly, caring more about the person than about winning, and be willing to lose arguments, concede points, and forgo victories for the sake of keeping the person open and drawing them, over time, toward the faith. To win the person, not the argument, is the true goal of apologetics, and it transforms how a believer argues.

Arguments Serve, They Do Not Convert

Finally, the believer must remember that arguments, however good, do not themselves convert anyone, that conversion is the work of grace, and that his apologetics is a servant of that work rather than its cause, which frees him from both pride and anxiety. No argument, however compelling, can produce faith, for faith is a gift of God given to a free heart, and the believer's arguments can at most remove obstacles and open the way, preparing the ground in which grace may work. This recognition keeps the apologist humble, for he cannot take credit for conversions that are God's work, and it frees him from anxiety, for he is not responsible for producing a result that is beyond his power. His task is to be faithful, to give a good and honest account of the faith with gentleness and respect, and to leave the increase to God, trusting that his faithful apologetics serves a work of grace he cannot himself accomplish. This frees the believer to argue without the pressure of having to convert anyone, to do his part well and trust God with the rest, and it keeps his apologetics in its proper place as a servant of grace rather than a substitute for it. Arguments serve the work of conversion but do not accomplish it, and the believer who grasps this argues with both humility and peace, faithful in his part and trusting God with the harvest.

CONCLUSION

A Reasoned and Gracious Defense

Every Christian is called to be ready to give a reason for the hope that is in him, and this book has been about acquiring that readiness. We have seen that apologetics is every believer's business, not the expert's alone; that faith and reason are allies rather than enemies; that a few foundational questions, the existence of God and the identity of Christ, carry the most weight; that the problem of suffering, the hardest challenge, is answered finally by the cross; that the common objections have reasonable responses; that the Catholic faith has particular questions a believer should be able to address; and that the manner of defense, gentle and respectful, matters as much as the content.

The aim throughout has not been to turn the ordinary believer into a professional debater or to equip him to win every argument, but to make him able to give an honest, reasoned, and gracious account of what he believes and why, so that he need not freeze when questioned, need not keep his faith hidden for fear of being put on the spot, and can help the many around him who are genuinely searching. A faith that can give a reason for itself is a stronger faith, more secure against doubt and more able to serve others, and the believer who has done the work of understanding his faith is equipped both to stand firm and to help others toward the truth.

So be ready. Think through why you believe; reclaim reason as your ally; know the foundational questions and the main objections; face the hard challenge of suffering honestly and point to the cross; be able to explain and defend the distinctive teachings of your faith; and above all, defend it always with gentleness and respect, caring more about the person than about winning, and trusting God with the increase. Do this, and you will be able, when the moment comes, to give a reason for the hope that is in you, not to crush an opponent but to draw a soul, not to win an argument but to serve the truth, standing ready as Scripture commands to make a reasoned and gracious defense of the faith you hold.

✦ ✦ ✦

Apologetics: Defending What You Believe is part of The Daily Bread Library.